WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER

Washington, DC New York London Paris

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

December 12, 1995

RECEIVED

DEC 1 2 1995

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 Re: Ex Parte Presentation in CC Docket 94-97, Phase II VEIS Tariff Investigation

Dear Secretary Caton:

On Tuesday, December 12, 1995, representatives of Time Warner Communications ("TWComm") met with Geraldine Matise, Chief of the Tariff Division, Paul D'Ari, Senior Attorney in the Tariff Division, Carol Canteen, Economist of the Tariff Review Branch, and Jim Lichford, Public Utilities Specialist of the Tariff Review Branch. Representing TWComm were Carol Melton, Don Shepheard, Sue Wieske, and John McGrew. Attached is an outline which describes the substance of TWComm's presentation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Enclosure

cc: Geraldine Matise

Paul D'Ari Carol Canteen Jim Lichford

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 2

C:\WP51\8140\81400487

Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, NW Telex: RCA 229800 WU 89-2762

202 328 8000

Washington, DC 20036-3384 Fax: 202 887 8979

SWBT Virtual Collocation Tariffs Time Warner Communications Meeting with Tariff Division December 11, 1995

Purpose of Meeting

- Explain how the SWBT tariff for VEIS maintenance degrades the quality of TWComm's services.
 - Most areas under investigation involve money issues which do not directly affect market entry.
 - Maintenance provisions present operational issues which directly impact TWComm's service quality and ability to enter the market.
- Explore alternative procedural approaches to facilitate expeditious action addressing TWComm's operational concerns.

Statement of Issues

- Surveillance on TWComm SONET ring is provided from the AT&T DDM-2000 multiplexer (OC-12). Normally, National Operations Center (NOC) in Denver accesses the SONET ring through the Gateway Network Element (GNE) placed in the TWComm central office. Alarms from any network element within the ring sent to NOC via X.25 port at the GNE.
- SWBT tariff requires an Alarm Collection Device (ACD) at the TWComm CO and each SWBT central office to monitor alarms. Tariff requires that SWBT utilize X.25 port to GNE.
- AT&T equipment currently provides only a single GNE with X.25 access. Thus, only one party can gain such access to the GNE. At least 6 months before dual GNE available and operational.

Operational Impact on Remote Monitoring & Control Functions

- Contrary to SWBT claims, their "remote access arrangement" for interconnector monitoring and control is technically deficient and causes operational degradation in TWComm's quality of service.
- Impact on Provisioning:
 - Automated, real-time inventory management requires access to GNE or must be done on a manual basis.

- Lost functionality results in additional provisioning time per circuit, which will result in consistent failure to meet the average minute-per-circuit quality standard.
- Required time for customer receipt of Firm Order Confirmation (FOC) will be consistently missed.
- Required time for customer receipt of Circuit/Facilities Design Layout Records will be consistently missed.
- Planned implementation of flow-throughprovisioning will not be possible.

Impact on Maintenance:

- As acknowledged by SWBT, remote access arrangement provides only high-level alarms, i.e., major, minor, critical. Will result in consistent failure to meet notification and restoration standards.
- Additional time due to lack of alarm information equivalent to the total quality standard for restoral.
 - Manual retrieval of alarms.
 - Lack of alarm correlation and suppression.
- Access Link to hub ACD would compound trouble isolation by bringing all alarms into a single ACD.

Bottom Line:

- Failure to meet provisioning and maintenance quality standards results in lost business and increased costs from additional workforce and ACD arrangements. Impact approximates \$1.5 million annually.
- Consumers lose benefit of higher quality/lower cost services.

Impact on Network Security

• SWBT admits that GNE access compromises network security.

- Insists on "firewall" to protect against interconnector access to SWBT network or to each other's, but appears unconcerned about its own access to its competitors' networks. Demands that its competitors accept conditions that SWBT will not accept.
- SWBT employees' incentives to breach security are no less than those of interconnectors.

<u>SWBT Does not Need to Monitor TWComm's Network to Comply with the Commission's Order</u>

- No other LEC has similar tariff requirements. "Equal standards" mandate begins upon notification by TWComm.
- TWComm superior quality standards neutralized by SWBT control.
- Another example of SWBT's determination to undermine the Commission's expanded interconnection policy by making it as difficult and costly as possible for new entrants to interconnect.

Conclusion

- Every day SWBT's tariff is allowed to remain in effect represents a significant loss of revenue to TWComm. and loss of price/quality benefits to consumers.
- Commission should order SWBT to remove ACD and associated requirements from their tariffs as expeditiously as possible.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas Jones do hereby certify that on this 12th day of December, 1995, copies of the foregoing Ex Parte filing by Time Warner Communications Holdings, Inc. were delivered by first class mail, unless otherwise indicated, to the following parties:

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 826
Washington, DC 20554

Chairman Reed E. Hundt*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

William D. Baskett III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45201-5715

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta Helen A. Shockey Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc. 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 Commissioner Rachelle Chong*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 844
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

Robert M. Lynch
Durward D. Dupre
Darryl W. Howard
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center
Room 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101

Jay C. Keithly United and Central Telephone Companies 1850 M Street N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036-5807

Michael S. Pabian Ameritech 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H82 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Lawrence W. Katz
The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies
1320 North Court House Road
Eighth Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Kathryn Marie Krause US West Communications, Inc. Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

ITS, Inc.*
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

Geraldine Matise*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 518
Washington, DC 20554

Carol C. Canteen*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 514
Washington, DC 20554

Mika Savir*
Tariff Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 518
Washington, DC 20554

W. Richard Morris
Diane R. Stafford
United and Central Telephone Companies
Post Office Box 11315
Kansas City, MO 64112

Paul D'Ari*
Federal Communications Commission
2033 M Street, N.W.
Room 404-E
Washington, DC 20036

Jim Lichford*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 518
Washington, DC 20554

Phomas Jones

*Hand Delivery